

Original Research Article

Understanding Consumer Behavior Through AI-Driven Predictive Analytics

Dr. (Mrs). Vaishali Nadkarni

Asst. Prof. (HOD) Department of Commerce and Management, The Byramjee Jeejeebhoy College of Commerce, 33, M Karve Road, Opp Charni road Railway Station, Charni road, Mumbai 400004 India

Corresponding author E-mail: bacchunadkarni@rediffmail.com

Received: 10 September, 2026 | Accepted: 24 September, 2026 | Published: 3 October, 2026

Abstract:

The rapid proliferation of artificial intelligence (AI) and big data analytics has fundamentally transformed how organizations understand, predict, and influence consumer behavior. Despite the growing adoption of AI-driven predictive analytics in marketing, there remains limited theoretical integration explaining how these technologies shape consumer decision-making processes. This paper presents a conceptual framework that examines the relationships among AI-based personalization, predictive analytics capability, consumer data quality, and AI recommendation accuracy as independent variables, consumer trust as a mediator, and purchase intention and customer loyalty as dependent variables. Drawing upon the Technology Acceptance Model, the Theory of Planned Behavior, the Stimulus–Organism–Response framework, and Expectation Confirmation Theory, the study synthesizes current literature across AI in marketing, machine learning applications, recommendation systems, customer segmentation, and consumer journey analytics. The proposed framework contributes to the literature by providing a holistic theoretical model that bridges the gap between technological capabilities and behavioral outcomes. Practical implications suggest that organizations should prioritize data quality and algorithmic transparency to foster consumer trust, while ethical considerations regarding privacy and bias in AI models warrant

careful governance. The findings offer actionable insights for marketers, technology developers, and policymakers seeking to leverage predictive analytics responsibly in consumer-facing applications.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, predictive analytics, consumer behaviour, personalization, purchase intention, customer loyalty, consumer trust

Introduction:

The contemporary business landscape is undergoing a profound transformation driven by artificial intelligence, big data, and digital technologies. Organizations across industries are increasingly leveraging AI-driven predictive analytics to gain deeper insights into consumer behavior, optimize marketing strategies, and create personalized customer experiences. The convergence of advanced computational capabilities, vast datasets generated through digital interactions, and sophisticated machine learning algorithms has created unprecedented opportunities for understanding and anticipating consumer needs (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018; Vu et al., 2026). The ability to predict consumer behavior with greater accuracy has become a critical competitive advantage in the digital economy, where customer expectations for personalized and seamless experiences continue to rise.

Predictive analytics, which encompasses statistical techniques and machine learning models applied to historical and real-time data, has emerged as a cornerstone of modern marketing practice. From forecasting purchase probabilities and segmenting customers to recommending products and mapping consumer journeys, AI-driven analytics enables marketers to move beyond reactive approaches toward proactive, data-informed decision-making (Wedel & Kannan, 2016; Kumar et al., 2019). The integration of AI into marketing analytics represents not merely a technological upgrade but a fundamental shift in how organizations conceptualize and engage with their customers (Grewal et al., 2020). Digital transformation, characterized by the adoption of AI, cloud computing, and data-driven strategies, has redefined the relationship between businesses and consumers, enabling real-time responsiveness and individualized engagement at scale.

Consumer behavior, as a field of study, has long sought to understand the psychological, social, and cognitive processes that drive purchasing decisions. The advent of AI-driven analytics introduces new dimensions to this inquiry, as algorithmic systems now mediate many consumer interactions, from product discovery to post-purchase service. This technological mediation raises important questions about how consumers perceive and respond to AI-generated recommendations, whether algorithmic personalization enhances or undermines consumer autonomy, and how trust is established in human-machine interactions (Kumar & Reinartz, 2018; Gefen et al., 2003). Understanding these dynamics is essential for both theoretical advancement and practical marketing effectiveness.

Despite the rapid advancement and adoption of these technologies, the academic literature reveals a notable gap in understanding the mechanisms through which AI-driven predictive analytics influences consumer behavior. While substantial research has examined individual components such as recommendation systems (Adomavicius & Tuzhilin, 2005), customer segmentation (De Caigny et al., 2018), and personalization (Kumar et al., 2019), there remains a lack of integrated frameworks that connect these technological capabilities to established theories of consumer behavior and decision-making. Furthermore, the mediating role of consumer trust in AI systems and the ethical implications of algorithmic personalization have received insufficient theoretical attention (Dinev & Hart, 2006; Vu et al., 2026).

This paper aims to address these gaps by proposing a conceptual framework that integrates AI-driven predictive analytics capabilities with consumer behavior theories. The research objectives are threefold: first, to critically examine the current state of AI applications in consumer analytics; second, to develop a theoretically grounded framework linking AI capabilities to behavioral outcomes; and third, to identify implications for both academic research and marketing practice. The proposed contribution lies in synthesizing multiple theoretical perspectives—including the Technology Acceptance Model (Davis, 1989), the Theory of Planned Behavior (Ajzen, 1991), the Stimulus–Organism–Response framework (Mehrabian & Russell, 1974), and Expectation Confirmation Theory (Bhattacharjee, 2001)—to provide a comprehensive understanding of how AI-driven analytics shapes consumer decision-making.

Review of Literature:

AI in Marketing

The application of artificial intelligence in marketing has evolved from simple rule-based systems to sophisticated machine learning and deep learning architectures. Davenport et al. (2020) identified several domains where AI is transforming marketing, including customer insights generation, content personalization, pricing optimization, and campaign management. Their analysis suggests that organizations adopting AI in marketing achieve measurable improvements in customer targeting efficiency and return on marketing investment. Huang and Rust (2021) proposed a strategic framework categorizing AI in marketing into mechanical, thinking, and feeling intelligence, arguing that the most impactful applications combine analytical capabilities with emotional understanding of consumers. These developments reflect a broader digital transformation in which data-driven decision-making has become central to marketing strategy (Lamberton & Stephen, 2016; Kannan & Li, 2017). Chaffey and Ellis-Chadwick (2019) further emphasized that AI integration is no longer optional but essential for maintaining competitive positioning in digital markets.

However, the literature on AI in marketing has been criticized for focusing predominantly on technological capabilities while neglecting the consumer perspective. Grewal et al. (2020) called for a more multidisciplinary approach that integrates insights from information systems, psychology, and consumer research to understand how AI reshapes the marketing landscape. Their argument underscores a fundamental tension: while organizations invest heavily in AI capabilities, the theoretical mechanisms through which these capabilities translate into consumer behavioral outcomes remain insufficiently explored.

Consumer Analytics and Predictive Methods

Consumer analytics refers to the systematic use of data and statistical methods to understand consumer preferences, predict behaviors, and optimize marketing outcomes. The field has expanded considerably with the availability of big data from digital channels, social media, and Internet of Things devices (Erevelles et al., 2016). Wedel and Kannan (2016) provided a comprehensive framework for marketing analytics in data-rich environments, highlighting the importance of integrating multiple data sources and analytical methods to generate actionable consumer insights. Their work distinguished between descriptive, predictive, and prescriptive analytics, noting that the value of analytics for marketing lies not merely in describing past behavior but in anticipating future actions and recommending optimal interventions.

Ngai et al. (2009) conducted a systematic review of data mining techniques in customer relationship management, identifying classification, clustering, and association rule mining as the most frequently applied

methods for understanding consumer behavior patterns. More recently, Duan et al. (2019) examined the role of AI in decision-making under conditions of big data, emphasizing that predictive analytics capabilities are increasingly necessary for organizations to extract meaningful patterns from high-volume, high-velocity data streams. Their analysis highlighted the challenges of data quality, model interpretability, and organizational readiness that accompany the adoption of advanced analytics. Mikalef et al. (2020) provided empirical evidence linking big data analytics capabilities to firm performance, suggesting that the strategic value of consumer analytics extends beyond operational efficiency to encompass competitive advantage and market differentiation.

Machine Learning in Consumer Behavior

Machine learning has become the primary methodological engine driving predictive consumer analytics. De Caigny et al. (2018) demonstrated the effectiveness of hybrid machine learning approaches for customer churn prediction, showing that ensemble methods combining logistic regression with decision trees outperformed individual models. Their findings illustrate a broader trend in the literature: predictive accuracy improves when multiple algorithms are combined, though this comes at the cost of increased model complexity and reduced interpretability. Li and Kannan (2014) applied econometric and machine learning methods to attribute conversions across marketing channels, revealing the complementary roles of different touchpoints in driving consumer purchases. Their work underscores the importance of understanding not just whether a consumer will purchase, but through which pathways the purchase decision is influenced.

The application of deep learning has further enhanced predictive capabilities, enabling the analysis of unstructured data such as text, images, and audio for consumer insights. Natural language processing techniques, for example, allow organizations to analyze customer reviews, social media posts, and support interactions to identify sentiment trends and emerging preferences. However, the complexity of these models often comes at the cost of transparency, raising concerns about the interpretability of algorithmic decision-making in consumer-facing applications. As noted by Duan et al. (2019), the trade-off between predictive accuracy and model explainability remains one of the central challenges in applying machine learning to consumer behavior.

Recommendation Systems and Customer Segmentation

Recommendation systems represent one of the most visible and commercially significant applications of AI in consumer marketing. Adomavicius and Tuzhilin (2005) provided a foundational survey of recommender system approaches, categorizing them into content-based, collaborative filtering, and hybrid methods. Their framework established the conceptual boundaries that continue to guide research in this domain. Modern recommendation systems have evolved considerably, incorporating deep learning, contextual bandits, and reinforcement learning to deliver more accurate and timely suggestions. The effectiveness of these systems depends critically on the quality and volume of available consumer data, the sophistication of the underlying algorithms, and the ability to balance exploration of new items with exploitation of known preferences (Kumar & Reinartz, 2018).

Customer segmentation, a related application, has been transformed by AI-driven approaches that enable dynamic, real-time segmentation based on behavioral data. Traditional segmentation methods, which relied on static demographic or psychographic variables, are increasingly supplemented or replaced by machine learning algorithms that identify nuanced consumer segments based on browsing patterns, purchase histories, and interaction data (Kumar et al., 2019). Verhoef et al. (2015) highlighted the importance of integrating segmentation with consumer journey analytics, arguing that understanding how different consumer segments navigate across channels is essential for effective marketing in omni-channel environments.

Consumer Journey Analytics and Personalization

The consumer journey has become increasingly complex, spanning multiple digital and physical touchpoints. Verhoef et al. (2015) articulated the evolution from multi-channel to omni-channel retailing, emphasizing the need for integrated analytics that track and interpret consumer behavior across channels. Consumer journey analytics leverages AI to map the sequence of interactions that lead to purchase decisions, identifying critical moments of truth, potential drop-off points, and opportunities for intervention. Shankar et al. (2010) provided early insights into mobile marketing's role in the consumer journey, anticipating the smartphone's transformative effect on how consumers discover, evaluate, and purchase products.

AI-driven personalization represents the practical application of predictive analytics to individual consumer experiences. By leveraging data on past behavior, contextual factors, and predicted preferences, organizations can tailor marketing messages, product offerings, and service interactions to individual consumers. Kumar et al. (2019) argued that personalized engagement marketing, powered by AI, significantly enhances customer satisfaction and retention. However, effective personalization requires high-quality consumer data and sophisticated analytical models, creating a dependency that raises important questions about data governance and consumer autonomy (Kannan & Li, 2017).

Privacy Concerns and Ethical Considerations

The extensive collection and utilization of consumer data for AI-driven analytics have intensified concerns about privacy and ethical governance. Dinev and Hart (2006) established that perceived privacy risk significantly influences consumer behavior in digital environments, a finding that remains highly relevant as AI systems require increasingly granular data inputs. Their extended privacy calculus model demonstrated that consumers weigh perceived benefits against perceived risks when deciding whether to disclose personal information, suggesting that the value proposition of AI-driven personalization must clearly exceed privacy costs to gain consumer acceptance.

The opacity of machine learning algorithms, often described as the "black box" problem, complicates efforts to ensure fairness, accountability, and transparency in automated decision-making. Vu et al., (2026) argued that as marketing becomes more intelligent, it must also become more responsible, calling for ethical frameworks that balance commercial interests with consumer rights. Issues of algorithmic bias, where AI systems may perpetuate or amplify existing societal biases in targeting, pricing, or recommendations, represent a particularly pressing concern (Duan et al., 2019). The ethical deployment of AI in consumer analytics requires not only technical safeguards but also governance structures that ensure accountability, transparency, and respect for consumer autonomy.

Research Gap

While the literature on individual AI applications in marketing is substantial, a conspicuous gap exists in the form of integrated frameworks that connect AI-driven predictive analytics capabilities to established consumer behavior theories. Existing studies typically examine specific technologies in isolation, without considering how different AI capabilities interact to influence consumer trust and behavioral outcomes. The mediating role of trust in shaping the relationship between AI capabilities and consumer responses has been particularly underexplored. Furthermore, few studies have examined how variables such as data quality and recommendation accuracy, which are critical to AI system performance, translate into consumer perceptions and behaviors. This gap motivates the development of a comprehensive conceptual framework that integrates multiple theoretical perspectives to explain the pathways through which AI-driven predictive analytics influences purchase intention and customer loyalty.

Conceptual Framework

Building on the literature review, this study proposes a conceptual framework that delineates the relationships between AI-driven predictive analytics capabilities, consumer trust, and consumer behavioral outcomes. The framework positions AI-based personalization, predictive analytics capability, consumer data quality, and AI recommendation accuracy as independent variables that influence two key dependent variables: purchase intention and customer loyalty. Consumer trust is theorized as a mediating variable that transmits the effects of AI capabilities to behavioral outcomes.

AI-based personalization refers to the degree to which an organization tailors its marketing communications, product recommendations, and service interactions to individual consumer preferences using AI algorithms. Predictive analytics capability denotes the organizational ability to employ statistical and machine learning models to forecast consumer behaviors and market trends. Consumer data quality encompasses the accuracy, completeness, timeliness, and relevance of the data inputs that feed AI systems. AI recommendation accuracy reflects the degree to which algorithmically generated suggestions align with actual consumer preferences and needs.

The framework draws upon four established theoretical perspectives to explain the proposed relationships. The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), originally proposed by Davis (1989) and later extended by Venkatesh et al. (2003) in the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT), provides the foundation for understanding how consumers perceive and evaluate AI-driven systems. TAM posits that perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use determine technology adoption. In the context of AI-driven analytics, recommendation accuracy and data quality contribute to perceived usefulness, while the intuitiveness of personalized interfaces relates to perceived ease of use.

The Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB; Ajzen, 1991) offers a complementary lens by emphasizing the role of attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control in shaping intentions. Consumer trust functions within the TPB framework as a component of attitude toward AI-driven services, while social influences and perceived control over algorithmic interactions moderate the strength of behavioral intentions. The Stimulus–Organism–Response (SOR) framework (Mehrabian & Russell, 1974) provides a process-oriented explanation, where AI capabilities (stimuli) influence internal psychological states including trust, perceived value, and satisfaction (organism), which in turn drive behavioral responses such as purchase intention and loyalty.

Expectation Confirmation Theory (ECT), as adapted by Bhattacherjee (2001) for information systems continuance, further enriches the framework by explaining how post-adoption evaluations shape sustained consumer engagement. According to ECT, consumers form initial expectations about AI-driven services, and subsequent confirmation or disconfirmation of these expectations determines satisfaction and continuance intentions. In the proposed framework, recommendation accuracy serves as a primary determinant of expectation confirmation, while data quality influences the consistency and reliability of the AI's performance over time.

Consumer trust is positioned as the central mediating variable because it captures the evaluative judgment that consumers form about the reliability, integrity, and benevolence of AI-driven systems (Gefen et al., 2003). Trust is particularly critical in AI-mediated interactions because consumers cannot directly observe the decision-making processes of algorithms and must instead rely on the outcomes they experience. When AI systems consistently provide accurate recommendations, respect privacy, and deliver genuine value, consumers develop trust, which subsequently enhances purchase intention and fosters customer loyalty (Oliver, 1999; Parasuraman et

al., 1988). Conversely, when AI capabilities are perceived as intrusive, inaccurate, or biased, trust erodes, undermining the very behavioral outcomes that organizations seek to achieve.

Discussion

The proposed conceptual framework carries several important implications across business, marketing, consumer, and ethical domains. From a business perspective, the framework suggests that investments in AI-driven predictive analytics must be accompanied by deliberate efforts to cultivate consumer trust. Organizations that focus exclusively on technological capabilities—such as improving algorithmic accuracy or expanding data collection—without addressing the trust dimension risk generating consumer resistance rather than engagement (Kumar & Reinartz, 2018). The framework implies that data quality is not merely a technical concern but a strategic one, as consumers are likely to evaluate AI systems based on the consistency and relevance of the recommendations they receive. Business leaders should therefore integrate data governance practices with customer relationship management strategies, ensuring that data quality metrics align with consumer experience objectives.

From a marketing standpoint, the framework highlights the importance of transparency in AI-driven personalization. Consumers who understand how their data is used and how recommendations are generated are more likely to perceive AI systems as trustworthy (Dinev & Hart, 2006). Marketers should consider providing clear explanations of AI-driven recommendations, offering consumers control over their data and personalization settings, and communicating the benefits of data sharing in terms that resonate with consumer values. The framework also suggests that the effectiveness of personalization is contingent on recommendation accuracy; poorly targeted personalization may be perceived as intrusive rather than helpful, damaging trust and reducing purchase intention.

For consumers, the framework underscores the dual nature of AI-driven analytics. On one hand, these technologies enhance the shopping experience by reducing search costs, surfacing relevant products, and providing timely information. On the other hand, they introduce new vulnerabilities related to data privacy, algorithmic manipulation, and the potential erosion of consumer autonomy (Rust & Huang, 2014). The mediating role of trust implies that consumer education and awareness are critical: consumers who understand both the benefits and risks of AI-driven analytics are better positioned to make informed decisions about their engagement with these systems.

Ethical implications are perhaps the most consequential aspect of the framework. The reliance on consumer data for AI-driven analytics raises fundamental questions about consent, surveillance, and the equitable distribution of algorithmic benefits and harms. Bias in AI models, whether arising from training data, feature selection, or algorithmic design, can perpetuate discriminatory outcomes in product recommendations, pricing, and service quality (Duan et al., 2019). Organizations deploying AI-driven analytics must implement robust fairness audits, establish accountability mechanisms, and adopt privacy-by-design principles to ensure that their systems serve all consumers equitably. The framework suggests that ethical AI practices are not merely a compliance requirement but a determinant of consumer trust and, by extension, commercial success.

Compared with existing literature, this framework extends prior work by integrating multiple theoretical perspectives into a unified model. While Huang and Rust (2021) provided a strategic framework for AI in marketing, and Davenport et al. (2020) examined the transformational impact of AI on marketing practice, neither study explicitly modeled the psychological mechanisms through which AI capabilities influence consumer behavior. The present framework addresses this limitation by positioning trust as a mediating variable and by specifying the relationships between distinct AI capabilities and behavioral outcomes. Future research

opportunities include empirical testing of the framework across different industry contexts, examination of cultural and demographic moderators, and longitudinal studies tracking how trust in AI evolves over time.

Conclusion

This paper has presented a comprehensive conceptual framework for understanding consumer behavior through AI-driven predictive analytics. By integrating four established theoretical perspectives—the Technology Acceptance Model, the Theory of Planned Behavior, the Stimulus–Organism–Response framework, and Expectation Confirmation Theory—the study provides a theoretically grounded model that explains how AI-based personalization, predictive analytics capability, consumer data quality, and recommendation accuracy influence purchase intention and customer loyalty through the mediating mechanism of consumer trust.

The academic contribution of this paper lies in bridging the gap between the technology-focused literature on AI in marketing and the consumer behavior literature on trust, decision-making, and technology acceptance. While prior studies have examined individual components of AI-driven analytics in isolation, the proposed framework offers an integrated perspective that accounts for the interplay among multiple AI capabilities and their collective influence on consumer outcomes. The framework also highlights the centrality of trust as a mediating variable, addressing a notable omission in the existing literature.

From a managerial perspective, the framework provides actionable guidance for organizations seeking to leverage AI-driven analytics. The findings suggest that organizations should invest not only in improving the technical performance of AI systems but also in fostering consumer trust through transparency, data quality management, and ethical governance. The framework implies that trust-building is not a secondary consideration but a primary determinant of the commercial success of AI-driven marketing initiatives.

Several limitations should be acknowledged. First, the framework is conceptual and requires empirical validation through survey-based or experimental research. Second, the framework does not account for industry-specific factors or cultural differences that may moderate the proposed relationships. Third, the rapidly evolving nature of AI technology means that the framework may need refinement as new capabilities such as generative AI and autonomous agents become more prevalent in consumer-facing applications. Future research should test the framework empirically, explore boundary conditions and moderators, and examine the longitudinal dynamics of trust in AI-driven consumer interactions. Additionally, investigating the role of regulatory environments and industry self-regulation in shaping the deployment of ethical AI represents a promising avenue for scholarly inquiry.

References

- 1) Adomavicius, G., & Tuzhilin, A. (2005). Toward the next generation of recommender systems: A survey of the state-of-the-art and possible extensions. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 17(6), 734–749.
- 2) Chaffey, D., & Ellis-Chadwick, F. (2019). *Digital marketing: Strategy, implementation and practice* (7th ed.). Pearson.
- 3) Davenport, T. H., Guha, A., Grewal, D., & Bressgott, T. (2020). How artificial intelligence will change the future of marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 48(1), 24–42.

- 4) Davenport, T., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. *Harvard Business Review*, 96(1), 108–116.
- 5) De Caigny, A., Coussement, K., & De Bock, K. W. (2018). A new hybrid classification algorithm for customer churn prediction based on logistic regression and decision trees. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 269(2), 760–772.
- 6) Duan, Y., Edwards, J. S., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2019). Artificial intelligence for decision making in the era of Big Data – evolution, challenges and research agenda. *International Journal of Information Management*, 48, 63–71.
- 7) Erevelles, S., Fukawa, N., & Swayne, L. (2016). Big Data consumer analytics and the transformation of marketing. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(2), 897–904.
- 8) Grewal, D., Hulland, J., Kopalle, P. K., & Karahanna, E. (2020). The future of technology and marketing: A multidisciplinary perspective. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 48(1), 1–8.
- 9) Kannan, P. K., & Li, H. A. (2017). Digital marketing: A framework, review and research agenda. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 34(1), 22–45.
- 10) Kumar, V., & Reinartz, W. (2018). *Customer relationship management: Concept, strategy, and tools* (3rd ed.). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-55381-7>
- 11) Kumar, V., Rajan, B., Venkatesan, R., & Lecinski, J. (2019). Understanding the role of artificial intelligence in personalized engagement marketing. *California Management Review*, 61(4), 135–155.
- 12) Lamberton, C., & Stephen, A. T. (2016). A thematic exploration of digital, social media, and mobile marketing: Research evolution from 2000 to 2015 and an agenda for future inquiry. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 146–172.
- 13) Li, H., & Kannan, P. K. (2014). Attributing conversions in a multichannel online marketing environment: An empirical model and a field experiment. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 51(1), 40–56.
- 14) Mikalef, P., Boura, M., Lekakos, G., & Krogstie, J. (2020). Big data analytics and firm performance: Findings from a mixed-method approach. *Journal of Business Research*, 98, 261–276.
- 15) Ngai, E. W. T., Xiu, L., & Chau, D. C. K. (2009). Application of data mining techniques in customer relationship management: A literature review and classification. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36(2), 2592–2602.
- 16) Rust, R. T., & Huang, M. H. (2014). The growing importance of social marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 42(5), 457–459.
- 17) Shankar, V., Venkatesh, A., Hofacker, C., & Naik, P. (2010). Mobile marketing in the retailing environment: Current insights and future research avenues. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 24(2), 111–120.
- 18) Verhoef, P. C., Kannan, P. K., & Inman, J. J. (2015). From multi-channel retailing to omni-channel retailing: Introduction to the special issue on multi-channel retailing. *Journal of Retailing*, 91(2), 174–181.

- 19) Vu, C. B., Ozuem, W., Willis, M., & Katz, J. (2026). Navigating trust and the personalization-privacy paradox in AI-driven e-commerce: A consumer pathway framework. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 93, 104938. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2026.104938>
- 20) Wedel, M., & Kannan, P. K. (2016). Marketing analytics for data-rich environments. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 97–121.