

Original Research Article

Artificial Intelligence in Finance and Accounting: A Critical Review of Applications, Transformative Impact, Challenges and Future Research Directions

Dr. Saummya Rai*Thakur Specialized Degree College, Kandivali, India**Corresponding author E-mail: Saummya.raai2024@gmail.com*

Received: 10 September, 2026 | Accepted: 24 September, 2026 | Published: 19 October, 2026

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is progressively reshaping the conceptual and operational foundations of finance and accounting. What began primarily as the automation of routine and rule-based processes has evolved into the application of machine learning, deep learning, natural language processing, predictive analytics and intelligent automation to increasingly complex financial and accounting decisions. Against this background, the present paper critically reviews the literature on the impact of AI on finance and accounting, with particular attention to financial forecasting, bankruptcy prediction, financial statement analysis, auditing, fraud detection, credit-risk assessment, investment analysis, organizational performance and professional transformation. The review demonstrates that AI has considerable potential to enhance computational efficiency, predictive capability, anomaly detection and decision support. However, the literature does not establish technological superiority as an automatic consequence of AI adoption. Rather, the effectiveness of AI is contingent upon data quality, model selection, organizational readiness, employee competencies, governance arrangements and the ability of professionals to interpret algorithmic outputs. An important theme emerging from the literature is the transition of accounting and finance from predominantly retrospective and transaction-oriented functions toward more predictive, analytical and strategically embedded roles. At the same time, increased reliance on algorithmic decision-making introduces

concerns relating to explainability, bias, data privacy, cybersecurity, professional accountability and regulatory oversight. The review therefore argues that the long-term significance of AI lies less in the replacement of finance and accounting professionals than in the reconfiguration of professional work through human-AI complementarity. The paper concludes by identifying gaps concerning implementation, longitudinal organizational outcomes, explainable AI, professional competencies and emerging-economy contexts, thereby providing directions for future research.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Finance, Accounting, Machine Learning, Auditing, Financial Forecasting, Fraud Detection, Risk Management, Professional Transformation, Human-AI Collaboration

1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence has moved from being a largely experimental technological development to becoming an increasingly important component of organizational decision-making. Advances in machine learning, deep learning, natural language processing, predictive analytics and intelligent automation have enabled organizations to process increasingly large and heterogeneous datasets and derive patterns that would be difficult to identify through conventional analytical techniques. Finance and accounting are particularly exposed to this transformation because both disciplines are fundamentally information-intensive and depend extensively upon the collection, classification, interpretation and communication of data.

The relevance of AI to finance and accounting, however, extends considerably beyond the automation of clerical activities. Contemporary AI applications encompass financial forecasting, bankruptcy prediction, financial statement analysis, credit-risk assessment, fraud detection, auditing, investment analysis and portfolio-related decisions. The reviewed literature consequently presents AI not merely as a mechanism for reducing manual effort but as a technological capability capable of influencing how financial information is produced, analysed and incorporated into managerial decision-making.

This distinction is important. Traditional accounting systems have historically been oriented toward the systematic recording and reporting of past economic events. Although managerial accounting and financial analysis have always incorporated forecasting, the development of AI substantially expands the capacity to use accounting information predictively. Historical transactions can increasingly be combined with financial, operational and textual information to estimate future cash flows, identify potential financial distress, detect abnormal transactions and assess creditworthiness.

Kureljusic and Karger (2024) provide particularly important evidence in this regard. Their systematic review of 47 studies drawn from Scopus and Web of Science identifies bankruptcy forecasting, financial analysis, and fraud and error detection as three prominent fields in which AI has been applied within financial accounting. The review further identifies support vector machines, neural networks and random forests as techniques capable of generating robust predictions across several financial contexts.

Yet, an important distinction must be made between the technical capability of an AI model and its organizational usefulness. A model may demonstrate high predictive accuracy under experimental conditions without necessarily producing equivalent benefits after organizational implementation. Kureljusic and Karger (2024) observe that much of the literature concentrates on model construction and predictive performance, while comparatively less attention has been devoted to implementation, maintenance and the role of human participants

in AI-supported accounting environments. This limitation is particularly significant because financial and accounting systems operate within institutional, regulatory and organizational environments in which professional judgement remains consequential.

The emergence of AI must also be understood within the broader digital transformation of accounting. Bhimani and Willcocks (2014) drew attention to the implications of digitization and big data for accounting information, while Vasarhelyi, Kogan and Tuttle (2015) examined how the increasing availability of large datasets could alter accounting research and practice. AI can therefore be viewed as part of a wider transformation in which accounting information is becoming more extensive, continuous and analytically exploitable.

Auditing illustrates this transformation particularly clearly. Issa, Sun and Vasarhelyi (2016) conceptualized AI as a means of formalizing selected audit procedures and supplementing the audit workforce, while Kokina and Davenport (2017) subsequently examined how intelligent automation was beginning to reshape auditing practice. The implication is not simply that machines can perform existing audit tasks more quickly; rather, technological capability may alter the scope, frequency and nature of audit procedures themselves.

A similar transition is evident in the professional role of accountants. Moll and Yigitbasioglu (2019) emphasize that internet-related and digital technologies are reshaping accountants' work and creating new directions for accounting research. The conventional perception of the accountant as predominantly responsible for transaction recording, reconciliation and reporting is therefore increasingly incomplete. As routine processes become automated, professional value is likely to shift toward interpretation, judgement, analytical reasoning, strategic advice and governance of technology-assisted decisions.

This development raises the broader question of whether AI substitutes for or complements professional expertise. Jarrahi's (2018) conceptualization of human-AI symbiosis offers a useful perspective. Rather than treating human and machine intelligence as mutually exclusive, this perspective recognizes their complementary capabilities: AI offers computational scale and pattern-recognition capacity, whereas humans provide contextual understanding, ethical reasoning, intuition and responsibility. For finance and accounting, where decisions frequently combine quantitative evidence with professional judgement, such complementarity is particularly relevant.

Recent literature has also begun to connect AI adoption with broader organizational outcomes. Kawadkar (2026), for example, examines AI adoption among 370 accounting and finance professionals across banking, information technology, manufacturing and service organizations in India. The study considers the relationship between AI adoption and financial, operational and strategic performance, thereby extending the literature beyond the technical performance of individual algorithms.

Nevertheless, technological expansion creates corresponding governance challenges. AI-supported financial decisions may influence credit access, audit conclusions, investment decisions, fraud investigations and organizational resource allocation. Consequently, concerns relating to algorithmic bias, explainability, privacy, cybersecurity and accountability cannot be regarded as peripheral technological issues. Research on ethical AI in auditing and explainable AI indicates that transparency and responsibility become increasingly important as algorithmic systems participate in professional decision-making.

Against this background, the present paper critically synthesizes the literature on AI in finance and accounting. It seeks to move beyond a descriptive catalogue of applications by examining what the accumulated

literature reveals about the nature of technological transformation, the conditions under which AI produces value, the changing relationship between technology and professional judgement, and the unresolved issues that should guide subsequent research.

2. Objective of the Review

The paper is guided by a single overarching objective:

To critically review and synthesize the existing literature on the impact of Artificial Intelligence on finance and accounting, with particular emphasis on its applications, organizational and professional implications, associated challenges, and emerging directions for future research.

The use of a single integrated objective is appropriate because the paper is conceptual and review-based rather than an empirical investigation involving multiple testable relationships.

3. Review Methodology

The present paper adopts a structured narrative review approach to examine the development and implications of AI in finance and accounting. The analysis is based exclusively on secondary literature and does not involve the collection of primary data.

The literature considered in the review comprises peer-reviewed journal articles, systematic reviews, conceptual studies and selected empirical contributions addressing AI and related digital technologies within finance and accounting. Particular attention is given to studies examining machine learning, neural networks, intelligent automation, predictive analytics and related computational techniques in financial forecasting, auditing, fraud detection, bankruptcy prediction, credit-risk assessment and organizational decision-making.

Rather than presenting the literature solely in chronological sequence, the studies are organized thematically. This approach enables relationships between otherwise fragmented research streams to be identified and facilitates comparison between technical, organizational and professional perspectives.

The review therefore proceeds through four analytical stages. First, foundational literature concerning AI-based financial prediction and the digitalization of accounting is considered. Second, application-specific literature concerning forecasting, auditing, fraud detection, risk management and financial analysis is synthesized. Third, the review examines organizational and professional consequences, particularly workforce transformation and human-AI collaboration. Finally, ethical concerns and unresolved research issues are critically evaluated.

This approach is particularly appropriate because the underlying literature is methodologically heterogeneous. Studies differ considerably in datasets, algorithms, dependent variables, industries and performance measures. Indeed, Kureljusic and Karger's (2024) systematic review demonstrates that methodological differences make it difficult to establish the universal superiority of any particular AI technique. Accordingly, the purpose of the present paper is synthesis and critical interpretation rather than statistical aggregation.

4. Review of Literature

4.1 From Computational Prediction to Artificial Intelligence in Finance

The intellectual foundations of contemporary AI applications in finance can be observed in early attempts to use computational techniques for financial prediction.

Coats and Fant (1991) explored the use of neural networks for forecasting financial distress. Their contribution was significant because it demonstrated the possibility of learning relationships from financial information rather than relying exclusively on predetermined statistical assumptions. Jo and Han (1996) subsequently combined case-based forecasting, neural networks and discriminant analysis for bankruptcy prediction, illustrating an early movement toward hybrid computational approaches.

These studies established a principle that continues to underpin contemporary financial AI: historical financial information contains patterns that may be computationally identified and converted into predictive signals.

The subsequent development of greater computing power, larger datasets and more sophisticated algorithms significantly expanded the scope of this approach. AI research consequently moved beyond financial distress toward cash-flow forecasting, financial statement analysis, fraud detection, credit-risk assessment, asset pricing and portfolio-related applications.

Thus, contemporary AI in finance should be viewed not as an isolated technological innovation but as the continuation of a longer transition from conventional statistical analysis toward increasingly data-intensive and adaptive computational approaches.

4.2 AI and the Transformation of Accounting Processes

The application of AI to accounting initially appears most directly in routine process automation. Accounting functions involve substantial volumes of repetitive activities, including transaction classification, invoice processing, reconciliation, document extraction and account matching. Such tasks provide relatively structured environments for automation.

The significance of AI, however, lies in extending automation beyond fixed rule execution. Intelligent systems may recognize patterns, classify information and identify anomalies, thereby expanding the range of accounting processes that can be technologically supported.

Farea et al. (2024) discuss intelligent automation within accounting and financial reporting, illustrating the continuing evolution from conventional automation toward more sophisticated computational processing.

From an organizational perspective, automation can generate several benefits. Reduced manual intervention may shorten processing cycles, standardize repetitive procedures and allow professionals to allocate greater attention to analytical activities.

Yet the literature also suggests that automation should not be equated automatically with organizational improvement. Inefficient processes do not necessarily become efficient simply because they are automated. The effectiveness of intelligent automation depends upon process design, data integrity, systems integration and the capacity of employees to supervise automated outputs.

The relevant question, therefore, is not merely what accounting activities AI can automate, but how automation alters the structure and value of accounting work.

4.3 AI and Financial Forecasting

Financial forecasting represents one of the most developed areas of AI application.

Kureljusic and Karger (2024) identify forecasting as a significant field in which machine-learning approaches have been increasingly adopted. Their systematic review highlights applications in bankruptcy forecasting, financial analysis and fraud/error detection.

Yi et al. (2023) similarly review multiple AI approaches used for financial forecasting, including support vector machines, neural networks, fuzzy systems and optimization techniques. Yonan (2023) further examines the capacity of AI models to improve forecasting accuracy.

Collectively, these studies demonstrate the analytical appeal of AI. Conventional forecasting approaches may struggle with nonlinear relationships among financial variables, whereas machine-learning systems are designed to identify complex patterns within data.

Nevertheless, the evidence requires careful interpretation. Higher predictive accuracy within a particular dataset does not establish universal superiority. Kureljusic and Karger (2024) specifically observe that differences in datasets, explanatory variables and model specifications make it difficult to identify one forecasting technique that consistently dominates alternatives.

An additional issue concerns model stability. Financial relationships are not stationary. Economic crises, policy changes, technological disruption and structural market changes can alter relationships previously learned from historical data. AI-based forecasting therefore requires continuing validation and retraining rather than one-time implementation.

4.4 AI in Bankruptcy and Financial Distress Prediction

Bankruptcy prediction constitutes one of the oldest and most extensively investigated applications of computational intelligence in finance.

Building upon early neural-network research, Barboza, Kimura and Altman (2017) investigated machine-learning approaches to bankruptcy prediction. Their work reflects the increasing application of flexible computational models to corporate financial distress.

Mai et al. (2019) provide an important extension by applying deep-learning techniques to textual disclosures. This represents an important methodological development because corporate financial condition is not expressed exclusively through quantitative accounting ratios. Management narratives and other disclosures may contain signals concerning risk that conventional numerical models do not capture.

The movement from purely structured financial information toward the simultaneous analysis of structured and unstructured data is therefore an important development in the literature.

At the same time, sophisticated prediction raises questions of interpretability. A model that predicts bankruptcy accurately but cannot provide an understandable explanation may be difficult to employ in settings where financial decisions require justification. Predictive performance and explainability should therefore be treated as complementary rather than competing objectives.

4.5 AI in Financial Statement Analysis

Financial statement analysis represents another important area of AI application. Traditional analysis relies heavily on ratios, trends and professional interpretation of financial statements. AI expands this process by enabling large numbers of variables to be examined simultaneously.

Yi et al. (2023) identify financial analysis among the important applications of AI in accounting and finance. AI models may identify complex relationships between accounting variables and support predictions concerning future performance.

The principal advantage is analytical scale. A human analyst faces practical limitations concerning the volume of information that can be simultaneously processed. AI can examine substantially larger datasets and identify patterns warranting further professional investigation.

However, financial statements are institutional documents shaped by accounting standards, estimation choices and managerial judgement. Consequently, statistical relationships identified by AI do not automatically provide economic explanations. The interpretation of AI-generated patterns remains dependent upon accounting knowledge and contextual understanding.

This reinforces a recurring conclusion in the literature: AI can extend analytical capacity without eliminating the need for professional interpretation.

4.6 Artificial Intelligence and Auditing

Auditing provides perhaps the clearest illustration of how AI can alter not only the efficiency but also the architecture of a professional process.

Issa et al. (2016) examined the potential of AI to formalize components of auditing and supplement the professional workforce. Kokina and Davenport (2017) subsequently examined the emergence of AI-driven automation in auditing. Ganapathy (2023) further reviews applications, benefits and challenges associated with AI in auditing.

The conventional audit has historically depended partly upon sampling because examining every transaction can be prohibitively expensive and time-consuming. Intelligent analytical systems potentially allow much larger transaction populations to be examined and unusual items to be identified for further investigation.

This development may contribute to a transition toward more continuous forms of assurance. Instead of relying exclusively on periodic retrospective examination, organizations may increasingly use intelligent systems to monitor transactions and identify anomalies as they occur.

Yet, greater technological capability does not diminish the importance of professional scepticism. Algorithms may identify unusual patterns, but they do not independently determine whether those patterns represent error, fraud or legitimate economic activity. Auditors must therefore understand both accounting evidence and the limitations of the systems producing analytical signals.

The future audit environment is consequently more appropriately conceptualized as technology-augmented auditing than fully autonomous auditing.

4.7 AI in Fraud Detection

Fraud detection is closely connected with the transformation of auditing.

Traditional fraud-control mechanisms frequently rely upon predefined thresholds and known indicators. Although useful, such approaches may fail to identify complex or evolving fraudulent behaviour. Machine-learning systems can analyse relationships across larger datasets and identify unusual combinations of variables.

Kureljusic and Karger (2024) identify fraud and error detection as one of the three major application areas emerging from the literature on AI forecasting in financial accounting.

The principal advantage of AI-based fraud detection is therefore not simply automation but prioritization. Algorithms can direct professional attention toward transactions exhibiting unusual characteristics.

Nevertheless, two limitations require attention. First, false positives may generate unnecessary investigations. Second, fraudulent behaviour changes strategically in response to detection systems. Models therefore require continuing updating and human supervision.

AI should consequently be understood as strengthening fraud-detection capability rather than providing an autonomous solution to financial fraud.

4.8 AI in Credit Risk Assessment

Credit assessment provides another important application because lending decisions involve substantial volumes of historical and behavioural information.

Martinelli et al. (2020), for example, examine data-mining techniques for estimating probability of default under IFRS 9. The application demonstrates how computational approaches can interact directly with financial reporting and risk-management requirements.

AI can potentially analyse more variables and capture nonlinear relationships that conventional credit models may overlook. This may improve risk differentiation and provide earlier indications of deteriorating creditworthiness.

Yet the same analytical capability generates ethical concerns. When AI systems influence access to credit, errors and biases may have direct consequences for individuals and organizations. Data used for model training may incorporate historical inequalities or unintended proxies for protected characteristics.

The evaluation of credit-risk AI therefore cannot be limited to predictive accuracy. Fairness, explainability and accountability are equally important dimensions of model quality.

4.9 AI in Investment Analysis and Financial Markets

Artificial intelligence has increasingly entered investment analysis, asset pricing, stock-market forecasting and portfolio decision-making.

Yi et al. (2023) review applications of machine learning and neural-network approaches in financial markets and demonstrate the ability of such techniques to model nonlinear relationships among financial variables. Kannan (2022) similarly examines AI and machine learning within financial services, emphasizing predictive analytics and financial innovation.

However, financial markets present a particularly difficult forecasting environment. Market prices incorporate expectations and respond rapidly to unexpected economic, political and institutional developments. A model that performs strongly on historical data may therefore deteriorate when market structures change.

AI should consequently be regarded as a sophisticated analytical input rather than a mechanism capable of eliminating financial uncertainty.

4.10 Big Data as an Enabler of Financial AI

The growth of AI cannot be separated from the expansion of digital data.

Bhimani and Willcocks (2014) identify digitization and big data as forces capable of transforming accounting information, while Vasarhelyi et al. (2015) examine the implications of big data for accounting.

These contributions are important because AI performance depends fundamentally upon data availability and quality. Sophisticated algorithms cannot compensate indefinitely for incomplete, inconsistent or biased data.

The relationship can therefore be expressed conceptually as:

Data Quality → AI Model Quality → Decision Quality

This suggests that investment in AI without corresponding investment in data governance may produce disappointing outcomes.

Consequently, data management should be considered an integral component of AI strategy rather than a separate technical concern.

4.11 Artificial Intelligence and the Accounting Profession

Perhaps the most consequential long-term question concerns how AI will alter the accounting profession itself.

Moll and Yigitbasioglu (2019) emphasize that digital technologies are reshaping accountants' work. Hussin et al. (2024) similarly examine the implications of AI for the accounting profession.

The literature generally provides stronger support for task transformation than for complete occupational replacement.

Routine, repetitive and highly standardized activities are comparatively susceptible to automation. By contrast, responsibilities involving interpretation, communication, strategic analysis, ethical judgement and professional accountability remain dependent upon human capabilities.

The occupational implication is therefore a redistribution of professional value. As transaction processing becomes increasingly automated, accountants may devote greater attention to:

- analytical interpretation;
- business advisory services;
- strategic financial planning;
- technology governance;
- risk management; and
- decision support.

This transformation has significant implications for professional education. Technical accounting knowledge remains essential, but it increasingly needs to coexist with data literacy, technological competence and analytical reasoning.

4.12 Human-AI Complementarity

The binary question of whether AI will “replace” finance professionals is therefore conceptually inadequate.

Jarrahi (2018) proposes human-AI symbiosis as an alternative framework for understanding technology-enabled decision-making. From this perspective, the relevant question is how tasks should be allocated according to the comparative strengths of humans and intelligent systems.

AI possesses advantages in computational scale, consistency, pattern identification and rapid processing.

Human professionals possess comparative strengths in contextual interpretation, ethical reasoning, communication, professional scepticism and responsibility.

Consequently:

AI capability + Human judgement → Augmented financial intelligence

Such complementarity may represent the most appropriate conceptual model for the future of finance and accounting.

4.13 AI Adoption and Organizational Performance

A notable limitation of early AI research is its emphasis on technical performance rather than organizational consequences.

Recent research has begun to address this gap. Kawadkar (2026) examined AI adoption among 370 accounting and finance professionals across multiple sectors in India. The study considered financial, operational and strategic dimensions of organizational performance.

The findings indicate positive relationships between AI adoption and organizational performance, while also emphasizing employee capability and organizational readiness.

Olan et al. (2022) similarly connect AI with knowledge sharing and organizational performance.

Taken together, these studies suggest that AI does not generate organizational value in isolation. Rather, value emerges from the interaction between technological resources and complementary organizational capabilities.

A more appropriate representation is therefore:

AI Technology × Data Quality × Human Capability × Organizational Readiness = Potential Organizational Value

If one of these elements is substantially deficient, the benefits associated with technological investment may be constrained.

4.14 Ethical, Regulatory and Governance Concerns

The increased use of AI creates an important paradox. As financial decision-making becomes more technologically sophisticated, the need for accountability becomes greater rather than smaller.

Munoko, Brown-Liburd and Vasarhelyi (2020) examine the ethical implications of AI in auditing and highlight the professional consequences of incorporating intelligent systems into audit decision-making.

Meske et al. (2020) identify explainable AI as an important research area and emphasize the need to consider different stakeholders requiring explanations of algorithmic decisions.

Zhang et al. (2023) extend the ethical discussion to managerial accounting, while Uzougbo et al. (2024) examine legal accountability and ethical considerations in financial services.

Five issues appear particularly significant:

Algorithmic bias: AI can reproduce biases contained in historical data.

Explainability: Complex models may generate predictions without providing intuitively understandable reasons.

Data privacy: Financial AI may depend upon extensive personal and organizational data.

Cybersecurity: Greater digital integration creates additional points of technological vulnerability.

Accountability: Responsibility becomes ambiguous when professional decisions are influenced by algorithmic recommendations.

These concerns demonstrate that responsible AI requires governance structures capable of balancing innovation with professional accountability.

5. Critical Discussion and Synthesis

A review of the literature reveals that the impact of AI on finance and accounting can be understood through three interconnected transformations: process transformation, analytical transformation and professional transformation.

Process transformation

AI and intelligent automation alter how accounting activities are performed. Repetitive activities can increasingly be automated, potentially reducing processing time and allowing professionals to redirect attention toward higher-value responsibilities.

Analytical transformation

AI increases the volume and complexity of information that can be analysed. Machine learning and related techniques have been applied to forecasting, bankruptcy prediction, fraud detection, credit risk and investment analysis.

Professional transformation

As computational activities become automated, the comparative importance of interpretation, strategic reasoning, communication and professional judgement increases.

These transformations should not be considered independently. Automation changes the tasks performed by professionals; improved analytics changes the information available to decision-makers; and professional transformation changes the competencies organizations require.

The literature therefore supports a movement from:

Transaction Processing → Analytical Intelligence → Strategic Decision Support

This progression may represent the most significant conceptual implication of AI for accounting and finance.

At the same time, the literature does not justify technologically deterministic conclusions. AI adoption does not automatically produce superior decisions. Algorithmic performance is conditional upon data quality, model design, organizational infrastructure and professional interpretation.

Moreover, predictive accuracy is only one criterion of successful financial AI. A technically accurate model may still be unsuitable if it is opaque, discriminatory, insecure or inconsistent with professional and regulatory obligations.

Consequently, responsible AI in finance and accounting should be evaluated simultaneously across four dimensions:

Accuracy + Explainability + Accountability + Organizational Usefulness

This multidimensional perspective provides a more appropriate basis for evaluating AI than predictive accuracy alone.

6. Research Gaps

Despite the considerable expansion of literature, several important gaps remain.

6.1. Excessive emphasis on technical performance

A substantial portion of the literature concentrates on algorithmic accuracy. Comparatively less attention has been given to organizational implementation and long-term business value.

6.2. Limited longitudinal evidence

Cross-sectional and experimental studies provide important insights, but they cannot fully establish whether AI-generated benefits remain sustainable over time.

6.3. Limited understanding of implementation

Kureljusic and Karger (2024) specifically identify implementation and maintenance as relatively neglected areas within financial accounting AI research.

6.4. Human-AI decision architecture

Further research is required to determine when AI should automate a decision, when it should recommend a decision, and when professional judgement should override algorithmic output.

6.5. Explainability versus predictive accuracy

Research should examine whether highly accurate but opaque models are appropriate for accounting, auditing and regulated financial decisions.

6.6. Emerging-economy contexts

The geographical concentration of AI research limits the generalizability of existing findings. More evidence from India and other emerging economies is necessary.

6.7. Professional education

There remains insufficient evidence concerning how accounting and finance education should be redesigned for AI-intensive professional environments.

6.8. Objective measurement of organizational outcomes

Future studies should incorporate measurable outcomes such as cost savings, processing time, error reduction, forecasting accuracy, audit quality, fraud-detection effectiveness and return on AI investment. This need for objective performance measures is also identified within the reviewed material.

7. Future Research Directions

The identified gaps suggest several priorities for future scholarship.

First, research should move from asking whether AI models can outperform conventional techniques toward examining when, why and under what organizational conditions AI produces superior outcomes.

Second, longitudinal studies should investigate whether improvements associated with AI adoption persist over time.

Third, future studies should directly compare human-only, AI-only and human-AI collaborative decision-making.

Fourth, explainability should become a central research concern, particularly in auditing, credit assessment and other regulated activities.

Fifth, greater attention should be devoted to the organizational consequences of AI, including job redesign, employee resistance, professional identity and reskilling.

Sixth, research from emerging economies should be expanded. India's rapidly developing digital financial environment provides particularly valuable opportunities for examining AI adoption under conditions different from those of developed economies.

Finally, interdisciplinary research involving accounting, finance, information systems, computer science, organizational behaviour and business ethics will be necessary. AI in finance and accounting is no longer purely a technological problem; it is simultaneously an organizational, professional and institutional phenomenon.

8. Implications of the Review

The review has implications for organizations, professionals, educational institutions and regulators.

For organizations, AI adoption should be treated as an organizational capability-building exercise rather than simply a technology acquisition decision. Investment in algorithms without corresponding investment in employees, data governance and infrastructure is unlikely to generate sustainable value.

For accounting and finance professionals, the literature indicates that digital competency will increasingly complement traditional professional knowledge. Professionals will need sufficient understanding of AI to evaluate its outputs rather than merely accept algorithmic recommendations.

For educational institutions, curricula need to evolve accordingly. Accounting and finance education should increasingly incorporate data analytics, AI literacy, digital accounting systems, technology governance and ethical issues associated with algorithmic decision-making.

For regulators and professional bodies, the challenge is to develop governance frameworks that encourage technological innovation while preserving accountability, transparency, fairness and public confidence.

9. Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence represents a significant stage in the continuing technological transformation of finance and accounting. The literature reviewed in this paper demonstrates that AI applications now extend considerably beyond routine automation and encompass financial forecasting, bankruptcy prediction, financial statement analysis, auditing, fraud detection, credit-risk assessment, investment analysis and organizational decision support.

The accumulated evidence suggests that AI can strengthen computational efficiency, analytical capacity and predictive performance. However, the literature simultaneously demonstrates that technological capability should

not be equated with organizational effectiveness. Differences in data, model design and implementation environments mean that no single AI technique can be regarded as universally superior. Kureljusic and Karger (2024), for example, emphasize both the predictive potential of AI techniques and the difficulty of identifying one consistently dominant model across financial accounting applications.

More fundamentally, AI is altering the orientation of accounting and finance. Traditional systems have predominantly focused on recording, verifying and interpreting historical economic activity. AI extends this orientation by allowing financial information to be used increasingly for prediction, continuous monitoring and forward-looking decision support.

The transformation is equally important at the professional level. The reviewed literature provides greater support for the reconfiguration of accounting and finance work than for wholesale professional replacement. Routine activities may increasingly migrate toward automated systems, while analytical interpretation, professional scepticism, strategic reasoning, communication and ethical judgement become comparatively more important.

Accordingly, the future relationship between AI and finance professionals should be understood through the principle of complementarity. AI contributes computational scale, speed and pattern-recognition capability; professionals contribute contextual knowledge, judgement, ethical responsibility and strategic interpretation. Neither capability alone provides a complete basis for high-quality financial decision-making.

The review further establishes that AI's organizational impact depends upon complementary resources. Employee capabilities, organizational readiness, data quality, technological infrastructure and knowledge-sharing mechanisms influence whether AI-generated information becomes meaningful organizational knowledge.

At the same time, the expanding influence of AI intensifies the importance of governance. Explainability, bias, privacy, cybersecurity and accountability become increasingly consequential when algorithms influence financial decisions. The objective should therefore not simply be to maximize AI adoption but to develop responsible, explainable and professionally governed AI systems.

The central conclusion emerging from the literature is therefore that AI should neither be romanticized as an infallible technological solution nor dismissed primarily as a threat to professional employment. Its significance lies in its capacity to restructure how financial information is processed, interpreted and utilized. The future of finance and accounting is consequently likely to be characterized by augmented professional intelligence, in which human expertise and computational intelligence operate as complementary resources.

Future scholarship should therefore progress beyond the question of whether AI can improve financial and accounting activities and examine the more consequential question of how organizations can integrate AI with human expertise, professional accountability and institutional governance to create sustainable financial and organizational value.

References:

- Barboza, F., Kimura, H., & Altman, E. I. (2017). Machine learning models and bankruptcy prediction. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 83, 405–417.
- Bhimani, A., & Willcocks, L. (2014). Digitisation, “Big Data” and the transformation of accounting information. *Accounting and Business Research*, 44(4), 469–490.

- Coats, P. K., & Fant, L. F. (1991). A neural network approach to forecasting financial distress. *The Journal of Business Forecasting*, 10(4).
- Farea, A., et al. (2024). Intelligent automation in accounting and financial reporting. *Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology*, 57(6), 464–480.
- Ganapathy, V. (2023). AI in auditing: A comprehensive review of applications, benefits, and challenges. *Shodh Sari*, 2(4), 328–343.
- Hussin, N. A. K. M., et al. (2024). The impact of artificial intelligence on the accounting profession: A concept paper. *Business Management and Strategy*, 15(1), 34–50.
- Issa, H., Sun, T., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2016). Research ideas for artificial intelligence in auditing: The formalization of audit and workforce supplementation. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting*, 13(2), 1–20.
- Jarrahi, M. H. (2018). Artificial intelligence and the future of work: Human-AI symbiosis in organizational decision making. *Business Horizons*, 61(4), 577–586.
- Jo, H., & Han, I. (1996). Integration of case-based forecasting, neural network, and discriminant analysis for bankruptcy prediction. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 11(4), 415–422.
- Kannan, S. (2022). The role of AI and machine learning in financial services: A neural network-based framework for predictive analytics and customer-centric innovations. *Migration Letters*, 19(6), 1205–1220.
- Kawadkar, H. (2026). AI adoption in accounting and finance: Impact on organizational performance. *Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting*, 26(1), 421–428.
- Kokina, J., & Davenport, T. H. (2017). The emergence of artificial intelligence: How automation is changing auditing. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting*, 14(1), 115–122.
- Kureljusic, M., & Karger, E. (2024). Forecasting in financial accounting with artificial intelligence: A systematic literature review and future research agenda. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 25(1), 81–104.
- Mai, F., Tian, S., Lee, C., & Ma, L. (2019). Deep learning models for bankruptcy prediction using textual disclosures. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 274(2), 743–758.
- Martinelli, F., Mercaldo, F., Raucci, D., & Santone, A. (2020). Predicting probability of default under IFRS 9 through data mining techniques. In *Web, Artificial Intelligence and Network Applications* (pp. 959–969). Springer.
- Meske, C., Bunde, E., Schneider, J., & Gersch, M. (2020). Explainable artificial intelligence: Objectives, stakeholders, and future research opportunities. *Information Systems Management*, 39(1), 53–63.
- Moll, J., & Yigitbasioglu, O. (2019). The role of internet-related technologies in shaping the work of accountants: New directions for accounting research. *The British Accounting Review*, 51(6), 100833.
- Munoko, I., Brown-Liburd, H. L., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2020). The ethical implications of using artificial intelligence in auditing. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 167(2), 209–234.
- Olan, F., Arakpogun, E. O., Suklan, J., Nakpodia, F., Damij, N., & Jayawickrama, U. (2022). Artificial intelligence and knowledge sharing: Contributing factors to organizational performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 145, 605–615.

- Onyshchenko, O., Shevchuk, K., Shara, Y., Koval, N., & Demchuk, O. (2022). Industry 4.0 and accounting: Directions, challenges, opportunities. *Independent Journal of Management & Production*, 13(3), S161–S195.
- Uzougbo, N. S., Ikegwu, C. G., & Adewusi, A. O. (2024). Legal accountability and ethical considerations of AI in financial services. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*, 19(2), 130–142.
- Vasarhelyi, M. A., Kogan, A., & Tuttle, B. M. (2015). Big data in accounting: An overview. *Accounting Horizons*, 29(2), 381–396.
- Yi, Z., Cao, X., Chen, Z., & Li, S. (2023). Artificial intelligence in accounting and finance: Challenges and opportunities. *IEEE Access*, 11, 129100–129120.
- Yonan, J. F. (2023). Improving financial forecasting accuracy with artificial intelligence models. *Babylonian Journal of Artificial Intelligence*, 74–82.
- Zhang, C., Zhu, W., Dai, J., Wu, Y., & Chen, X. (2023). Ethical impact of artificial intelligence in managerial accounting. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 49, 100617.